

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/589,526 | 08/15/2006 | Katherine Zink | 20040018 | 9900 |
| 22500 BAE SYSTEM | 7590 08/13/201 | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 868 NASHUA, NH 03061-0868 | | | MANCUSO, HUEDUNG XUAN CAO | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2821 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAILDATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/13/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/589,526 | ZINK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| HUEDUNG Cao MANCUSO | 2821 | |

| | | HUEDUNG Cao MANCUSO | 2821 | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE F | EPLY FILED 22 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. 🛛 - 1. 🗖 - 1. | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in con | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| | The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | |
| have be under a set fort may re | ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 70 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s in (ib) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later fuce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | filed within two months | of the date of |
| f | iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| = | <u>DMENTS</u> | | | |
| | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | | | cause |
| | a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | I E below); | |
| | b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (| d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reig | ected claims. | |
| , | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ottod diamino. | |
| 4. I | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. 🔲 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. 🛛 H | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| (| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| (| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,6-10,13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| | AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| t | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| - 6 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. 🔲 | The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | | | | |
| | | /Huedung Cao Mancus | 0/ | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2821

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations: dipole radiators tying in a plane, with sai'd radiators being bent OU for said plane at the distal ends thereof, said radiators being structurally embedded in a ground plane on top of a cavity having conductive sidewalls.